Impact of instant controlled pressure drop pre-treatment on solvent extraction of edible oil from rapeseed seeds
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Abstract – The fundamental analysis and modeling of kinetics of solvent extraction of rapeseed oil enable the quantification of the “washing” and “diffusion” steps. Both are illustrated through “starting accessibility” and “effective diffusivity”, respectively. This is a relevant way to identify how to intensify and optimize the operating conditions in terms of highest yield and lowest extraction time. Using the instant controlled pressure drop (DIC) expansion as a pre-treatment for the intensification of the whole unit operation, the starting accessibility ratio reached a value of 28.69% against 19.03% for the raw material. Effective diffusivity of DIC treated samples reached a value of 2.05 × 10^{-12} m²/s instead of 0.72 × 10^{-12} m²/s for the raw material. Regarding oil composition, predominant fatty acids in all extracted rapeseed oils are oleic acid (C18:1 n9) ranged from 57.58 to 59.03%, linoleic acid (C18:2 n6) ranged from 21.23 to 21.89%, and linolenic acid (C18:3 n3) ranged from 9.11 to 9.45%. None of DIC treatment produced a significant variation in relative fatty acid profile.
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Résumé – Impact de la détente instantanée contrôlée en tant que pré-traitement pour l’extraction par solvant de l’huile de graines de colza. L’analyse fondamentale et la modélisation de la cinétique d’extraction par solvant de l’huile de colza permettent la quantification des deux étapes de « lavage » et de « diffusion », traduites respectivement par « l’accessibilité initiale » et la « diffusivité effective ». Il s’agit d’un moyen pertinent en vue d’identifier les voies d’intensification et d’optimiser les conditions opératoires capables d’augmenter le rendement et de diminuer le temps d’extraction. L’expansion par détente instantanée contrôlée (DIC) est utilisée pour intensifier l’ensemble du fonctionnement à travers de plus grandes accessibilité initiale et diffusivité effective (28,69 % contre 19,03 % pour la matière première et 2,05 × 10^{-12} m²/s au lieu de 0,72 × 10^{-12} m²/s pour la matière première, respectivement). Les traitements DIC n’ont généré aucune modification significative du profil d’acides gras prédominants dans les huiles de colza extraites avec l’acide oléique (C18:1 n9) variant de 57,58 à 59,03 %, l’acide linoléique (C18:2 n6) variant de 21,23 à 21,89 %, et l’acide linolénique (C18:3 n3) variant de 9,11 à 9,45 %.

Mots clés : Extraction / huile de colza / cinétique / diffusivité effective / l’accessibilité initiale / détente instantanée contrôlée (DIC)

1 Introduction

The production of rapeseed oil has been highly developed over many years for food uses. Rapeseeds carry a quantity between 40 to 55% oil weight (wt%) which contains triglycerides 97–99 wt%, fatty acids 0.5–2 wt% and minor lipids 0.5–1 wt% (Olivier and Elisabeth, 2009). Rapeseed oil is known for its high amounts of unsaturated fatty acids. The main fatty acid composition is approximately oleic acid C18:1 (61.6%), linoleic acid C18:2 (21.7%), and linolenic acid C18:3 (9.6%), palmitic acid C16:0 (3.6%), stearic acid C18:0 (1.5%), and other fatty acids (3%) (Przybylski et al., 2005).

World production of rapeseed seed amounts is about 5 million tons/year, taking the 5th place among oilseed crops (USDA, 2013). For rapeseed oil extraction, industries employ and even combine both mechanical (pre-press) and solvent extraction methods. In industrial process, residual oil content in rapeseed meal is around 2% (Lomascolo et al., 2012).

Some factors influencing the extraction process were previously studied: the nature of the solvent (Hensarling and Jacks, 1983; Kmiecik et al., 1991), the particle size (Meziane...
pre-treatment for extraction of non-volatile molecules such as flavonoids (Allaf et al., 2012; Ben Amor and Allaf, 2009). DIC is a thermo-mechanical process generated by subjecting the raw material for a short time-frame to high-pressure saturated steam followed by an abrupt pressure drop towards a vacuum. This generates an autovaporization of volatile molecules, implying instant cooling and expansion of the sample.

DIC allows the structure to be more expanded with more effective washing stage and higher diffusivity while more severe DIC conditions may imply higher breaking of cell walls. DIC has allowed solvent extraction to be undertaken very efficiently in a shorter time using less solvent (Besombes et al., 2010).

In this present work, we carried out a first approach of modeling of solvent extraction kinetics in order to identify the fundamental impact of DIC treatment and grinding in the case of rapeseed seeds. The main objective is to improve process performance (in our case extraction kinetics) without modifying the fatty acid profile. In addition, the impact of DIC treatment on rapeseed oil extraction was carried out and discussed in order to optimize DIC pretreatment parameters for intensifying solvent extraction process of rapeseed oil.

2 Materials and methods
2.1 Plant and chemicals

In this study, Astrid variety of rapeseed seeds provided by the company CETIOM, with 8.69% dry basis moisture content were treated. Analytical grade n-hexane used as solvent; methanol, sulfuric acid and NaCl used for the preparation of fatty acid derivatives were all purchased from VWR International (Darmstadt, Germany).

2.2 Experimental protocol

Samples of untreated and DIC-treated material were coarsely ground and then extracted via n-hexane Soxhlet (Fig. 1). In each case, kinetic modeling and gas chromatography analysis were used as means to characterize rapeseed seed extraction in terms of functional behavior and fatty acid determination. The ISO 659-1988 norm was also performed on the raw material to have a baseline of the extraction process (Fig. 1).

2.3 Instant controlled pressure drop reactor and protocol

DIC lab-scale equipment from ABCAR-DIC Process company (La Rochelle, France), is a reactor with 7-L processing vessel. Thermal treatment in this vessel is achieved using saturated steam with pressure varying from 5 kPa up to 1 MPa. An “instant” valve ensures a connection between the vacuum tank (maintained at 5 kPa) and the processing vessel. DIC equipment and treatment is described in several papers (Allaf et al., 2012; Berka-Zougali et al., 2010; Kristiawan et al., 2008).

For each treatment of the experimental design, 100 g of rapeseed seeds were processed by DIC; i.e. rapeseed seeds undergone 2 stages: high temperature/high pressure and an instant pressure drop towards a vacuum.
2.4 Soxhlet extraction apparatus and procedure

Soxhlet extractions were performed on DIC-treated and untreated rapeseeds using 15 g of coarsely ground samples. The amount was transferred in a 30 × 80 mm cellulose thimble and placed in the 200 ml extraction chamber of a Soxhlet apparatus. The Soxhlet apparatus, fitted with a condenser, was placed on a 500 ml distillation flask containing 300 ml of n-hexane. Sample oil was thus extracted under n-hexane reflux for 6 h (60 siphoning). For conventional Soxhlet extraction of rapeseed oil the ISO 659-1988 norm (Standardization, 1998) procedure was carried out. The ISO-norm involved a very fine grinding.

In order to perform kinetic study, 1 ml was withdrawn after the first, second, third and fourth siphoning then every 30 min until 3 h of extraction and then each hour. After evaporating the n-hexane, the samples were weighted in order to follow the extraction kinetics. Results obtained for total extraction kinetics were expressed as described hereafter:

\[
\% \text{Extract} (\text{dm}) = \frac{\text{Weight of extract obtained after extraction}}{\text{Weight of rapeseed (dry material)}} \times 100. \tag{1}
\]

Extractions were performed at least three times; the mean values were reported and obtained extract were analyzed via gas chromatography.

2.5 Analytical procedures and assessments

2.5.1 Sieving instrument

The sieving instrument was a vibratory sieve shaker Analysette 3 Pro from C2M technology (Florange, France). Sieving was performed for the coarse and the fine grinding with sieves of 2000-1400-1000-800-600-200-71 μm and of 800-600-560-400-280-200-140-100-71 μm, respectively. The amplitude of the vibration of instrument was fixed at 1.2.

2.5.2 Statistical and experimental design protocol

Preliminary investigation allowed to defining a response surface methodology (RSM) with a two-factor five level (–α; –1; 0; 1; 0) central composite rotatable experimental design. This method was defined with: 4 factorial points (–1/–1; –1/+1; +1/–1; +1/+1), 4 star points (–α /0; 0/–α; +α/0; 0/+α) and 3 repetitions: (0/0). Responses were expressed with a second order polynomial empirical model of independent variables:

\[
Y = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{ii} x_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{ij} x_i x_j + \varepsilon, \tag{2}
\]

where \(Y\) was the response, \(\beta_0, \beta_i, \beta_{ii}\) and \(\beta_{ij}\) stand for the regression coefficients, \(x_i\) stand for DIC operating parameters...
as independent variables, $\varepsilon$ stands for the random error, and $i$ and $j$ for the indices of the factors. RSM can be used to optimize the operating parameters by coupling various studied responses (Benoist et al., 1994).

In the present study DIC operating parameters were: saturated steam pressure ($P$) ranged from 0.2 MPa to 0.7 MPa and treatment time ($t$) ranged from 20 to 120 s. The responses regarding extraction kinetics and extraction yields were analyzed. Statistical treatment of obtained results was executed using the analysis design procedure of Statgraphics Plus software for Windows (version 16.0, Levallois-Perret, France).

2.5.3 Gas chromatography

2.5.3.1 Preparation of fatty acids methyl ester derivatives

Fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) were prepared according to AOCS Official method Ce 2-66 (AOCS, 1989). Samples were then filtered through a 0.2 μm cellulose regenerated filter (Alltech associates, Deerfield, IL, USA) before injection.

2.5.3.2 Gas chromatography analysis

GC-MS analyses were performed by using an Agilent (Kyoto, Japan) gas chromatography. The instrument was equipped with a BD-EN14103 capillary column 30 m × 320 μm × 0.25 μm (Agilent). The velocity of the carrier gas (He) was at 33 cm s$^{-1}$. Injection of 2 μl of the various samples was carried out with a split mode (ratio 1:20) and the injector temperature was set at 250 °C. The oven temperature increased from 50 °C (1 min) to 180 °C at a rate of 20 °C min$^{-1}$, increased from 180 °C to 220 °C at a rate of 2 °C min$^{-1}$, and then held at 230 °C for 10 min. The mass spectra were recorded at 3 scan/s between 50 to 400 amu. The ionization mode was electron impact (EI) at 70 eV. Identification of common fatty acids was performed using the NIST 98 [US National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Gaithersburg, MD, USA] mass spectral database.

GC-FID analyses were performed on an Agilent (Kyoto, Japan) gas chromatography (GC) equipped with a Flame Ionization Detector (FID). The detector temperature was 300 °C. The other analytic conditions including the column type and column temperature, the injection temperature, split ratio, carrier gas and the linear velocity were the same as those of GC-MS analysis. FAMEs were identified by retention time and comparison with purified FAME standards (Sigma Co., USA).

3 Calculation: kinetic modeling-diffusivity and starting accessibility

In any solvent extraction achieved on plants (porous solid material), a first solvent-exchange surface interaction (washing (Fernández et al., 2012)) takes place for a short time-frame. Thus, starting accessibility $\delta X_i$ (expressed in g of extract per g of dry material) reveals the amount of extract obtained in very short time-frame ($t$ near 0) through the convection of solvent interacting with the exchange surface. Afterward, the main part of the operation is controlled through various penetration processes of the solvent within the material (capillarity, molecular diffusivity ...). Once $t > t_0$ (time for achieving the washing step), the driving force of global operation is the gradient of concentration and the model can be similar to Fick’s Law with an effective diffusivity $D_{eff}$ (m$^2$ s$^{-1}$) as the process coefficient (Allaf et al., 2011a; Amor et al., 2008).

1st Fick’s Law (Allaf, 1982):

$$\frac{\rho_s}{\rho_d} (\bar{v}_s - \bar{v}_d) = -D_{eff} \bar{V} \left( \frac{\rho_s}{\rho_d} \right).$$

One can assume the absence of expansion or shrinkage, i.e. $\bar{v}_d = 0$ and $\rho_d = \text{constant}$

$$\rho_s \bar{v}_s = -D_{eff} \bar{V} \rho_s,$$\n
Since the rapeseed seeds were ground (whether coarsely or finely), Crank’s solution for a sphere is the most adequate:

$$\frac{X_{\infty} - X}{X_{\infty} - X_0} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{6}{\pi^2 i^2} \exp \left( -\frac{i^2 \pi^2 D_{eff}}{d_p^2} (t - t_0) \right)$$

1st term approach of Crank’s calculation is:

$$\frac{X_{\infty} - X}{X_{\infty} - X_0} = \exp \left( -\frac{D_{eff} \pi^2}{d_p^2} (t - t_0) \right)$$

Starting accessibility: calculated value by extrapolating diffusion model to $t = 0$: $X_0 \neq (X_i = 0)$

$$X_0 - X_i = X_0 = \delta X_i,$$

with:

$\rho_s$: apparent density of the solute within the solid matrix (kg m$^{-3}$),

$\rho_d$: apparent density of the solid dry material (kg m$^{-3}$),

$v_s$: velocity of the solute (m s$^{-1}$),

$v_d$: velocity of the solid dry material (m s$^{-1}$),

$d_p$: radius (m),

$X$: amount of solute extracted at time $t$ (mg g$^{-1}$ dry material),

$X_{\infty}$: amount of solute within the matrix (mg g$^{-1}$ dry material),

$X_{\infty}$: amount of solute at the end of the washing step (mg g$^{-1}$ dry material),

$\delta X_i$: starting accessibility (mg g$^{-1}$ dry material),

$k$: transfer coefficient (m s$^{-1}$).

4 Results and discussion

4.1 Extraction kinetics: study and modeling

To identify the extraction kinetics, measurements of extracts were carried out for the different samples (untreated
seeds and DIC treated). Figure 2 presents the untreated sample and two DIC pre-treated sample extract kinetics. Whatever the DIC treatment conditions, obtained kinetics during the extraction are always better than that obtained with untreated sample. Indeed, with regards to the carried out experimental design, DIC 3 and DIC 5 (Tab. 1) are the treatment inducing the weakest and the highest kinetics, respectively. Even after only 90 min extraction it was possible to obtain better extract yields with DIC 5 treatment than that obtained with untreated seeds after 6 h extraction.

To determine the values of the effective diffusivity from experimental data, equation (7) was used. The values of the starting accessibility were then obtained by extrapolating each diffusion curves till $t = 0$.

To identify the impact of DIC parameters on the extraction oil, the starting accessibility $\delta X_s$, and the effective diffusivity $D_{\text{eff}}$ were used as response variables. The same parameters were related to that of the untreated seeds and to the ISO-norm in order to get baseline comparisons.

### 4.1.1 Starting accessibility

The starting accessibility $\delta X_s$ depends on the specific surface area (SSA), which for compact granules, is function of diameter $D$ and related to $1/D$. Its value reveals the amount that is removed within the first step extraction, i.e. the “washing” stage (Fernández et al., 2012).

As shown in Table 1, DIC pretreatment systematically induced an increase of the starting accessibility $\delta X_s$ from $\delta X_s = 0.0996 \text{ g/g dm}$ for raw material to be $0.135 \pm 0.013 \text{ g/g dm}$. We can assume that the increase of $\delta X_s$ via DIC with an average of about 135% was caused by the enhancement of the SSA, through the possible expansion of raw materials (Ben Amor and Allaf, 2009; Iguedjtal et al., 2008; Kamal et al., 2008). In Figure 2 we can note the very low starting accessibility value of the coarsely ground untreated seeds. The slow increase of kinetic extraction (Fig. 2 untreated seeds (RM)) illustrated an extraction mainly performed via diffusion. At the opposite, the finely ground ISO-norm sample presented a very significant starting accessibility $\delta X_s$ compared to usual untreated seeds (62.87% instead 19.03%, respectively).

It was worth comparing the starting accessibilities of these finely ground and coarsely ground powders ($\delta X_s = 32.90 \text{ instead of } 9.96 \text{ g/100 g dm}$, respectively), and noting their correlation with their respective Specific Surface Area (SSA) deduced from the mean diameter (360 and 1000 μm, respectively, Fig. 3).

The ISO-norm powder enables a better extraction via a higher starting accessibility thanks to a very fine grinding. This norm can easily be performed at laboratory scale. However, it is important to highlight that fine grinding generates real issues in industries. Hence SSA has to be enhanced via other ways (such as DIC pretreatment) than intensive grinding.

### Table 1. Starting accessibility of rapeseed extraction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure (MPa)</th>
<th>Time (s)</th>
<th>Value (g/g dm)</th>
<th>Ratio (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIC1</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.1240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC2</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.1241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC3</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.1292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC4</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.1501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC5</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.1406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC6</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.1475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC7</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.1475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC8</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.1475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.1444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC1</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.1392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC1</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.1444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.0996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norm</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.3290</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1.2 Effective diffusivity

To study and even optimize DIC operating parameters vis-à-vis the effective diffusivity $D_{\text{eff}}$, experimental results were analyzed using a central composite design. The mathematical relationship obtained was a polynomial empirical model representing the quantitative effect of process variables and their interactions on the measured response. The values of the coefficients of the operating parameters $P$ and $t$ were related to the effect of these variables on the response.

Pareto chart of standardized effects (Fig. 4) was calculated in order to show significant effects of all variables (linear, quadratic and interactions between variables). The vertical line represents the limit between the significant and insignificant effects regarding the response. The length of each parameter characterizes the absolute importance of the estimated effects. Moreover, the color of the squares indicates whether the effect is positive or negative. For instance the squares of the treatment time parameter is outstripping the vertical line and is in white color i.e. negative, hence the Pareto charts revealed that the longer the treatment, the less the effective diffusivity.

It also shows that squared $t$ and squared $P$ are significant. Indeed as one can see in the main effect plot, we had an optimal point for both pressure and time. Indeed as we can see in Figure 4, if we apart from these “optimal” points we obtain a lower $D_{\text{eff}}$.

The response surfaces enabled the representation of the total effect of operative parameters. RSM optimization was used to show the impact of the operative factors in terms of effective diffusivity (Fig. 4). Saturated steam pressure injected in the treatment chamber showed an optimum when it value is average.

It was, therefore, possible to identify the highest and the quickest DIC extraction process through the operation efficiency. The optimized DIC operating conditions determined with the goal to maximize effective diffusivity was obtained with the following parameters: 0.47 MPa and 48 s with $D_{\text{eff}}$ of 1.96 x 10^{-12} m^2 s^{-1} versus 0.706 x 10^{-12} m^2 s^{-1} for the usual raw material.

This established mathematical empirical model indicated hereafter:

$$D_{\text{eff}} = \left( -0.197673 + 7.65688P + 0.017766t ight) - 8.50765P^2 - 0.000183t^2 \right) 10^{-12} m^2 s^{-1} \tag{9}$$

with $R^2 = 83.87\%$.

Before going any further the lack of fit test will enable to determine whether the selected model is adequate to describe the observed data or whether a more complicated model should be used. The test is performed by comparing the variability of the current model residuals to the variability at replicate settings of the factors.

$Lack-of-fit = 0.11.$

Since the $P$-value for lack-of-fit in the ANOVA table is greater or equal to 0.05, the model appears to be adequate for the observed data at the 95.0% confidence level.

In this study effective diffusivity of the extractions performed on the different material (RM, DIC1-11 and ISO-norm) were compared.

Equation (7) was used to determine the values of the effective diffusivity from experimental data (Fig. 5). The logarithmic graph generated equation with the following form $y = ax + b$; a value is the effective diffusivity $D_{\text{eff}}$ in (10^{-10} m^2/s).

| DIC5 | $y = 0.0188x + 0.0591$ | $R^2 = 97.95\%$ | (10) |
| RM | $y = 0.00071x + 4.1595$ | $R^2 = 97.19\%$ | (11) |
| Norm | $y = 0.00071x - 25.814$ | $R^2 = 93.37\%$ | (12) |

DIC treatment systematically increased the effective diffusivity $D_{\text{eff}}$. It is very interesting here to note that both finely ground ISO-norm sample and usual coarsely ground sample, had similar values of the effective diffusivity (0.706 and
0.713 \times 10^{-12} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}, respectively), independently on the mean diameter (300 \mu m and 1 mm, respectively). \( D_{\text{ef}} \) of DIC-treated samples were significantly higher. Indeed their values depending on the applied parameters were up to 1.960 \times 10^{-12} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}. The effective diffusivity, unlike starting accessibility does not depend on the grinding of the matrix. Rapeseed seeds without pre-treatment coarsely and fine grinding had averagely the same effective diffusivity.

### 4.2 Oil analysis via gas chromatography

After establishing the extraction kinetics through weighing method, the analysis of extracts carried out by GC-MS and GC-FID using FAME method, allowed to identifying their fatty acid composition revealing the profile and total amount of extracted oil in each sample (Tab. 2).

The analysis of the FAMEs by GC-FID showed that the availability of oil yields can increase from 126% to 151% for samples treated by DIC in comparison with the availability of oil yields of untreated material (RM).

#### 4.2.1 Impact of DIC parameters on oil extraction yields

To determine and optimize DIC operating parameters this time \emph{vis-à-vis} the final extracted lipid yield, experimental results were analyzed using Statgraphics software. The mathematical relationship obtained was a polynomial empirical model representing the quantitative effect of process variables and their interactions on the measured response. The values
of the coefficients of $P$ and $t$ were related to the effect of these variables on the response. Statgraphics software tested the statistical significance of each effect by comparing the mean square against an estimate of the experimental error. In this case, 2 effects have $P$-values less than 0.05, indicating that they are significantly different from zero at the 95% confidence level.

Pareto chart of standardized effects (Fig. 6) was calculated in order to show significant effects of all variables (linear, quadratic and interactions between variables). As said earlier, the vertical line represents the limit between the significant and insignificant effects regarding the response. Pareto charts revealed that the longer the treatment, the less the lipid extracted. The response surfaces enabled the representation of the total effect of each operative parameter. RSM optimization was used to show the impact of the operative factors in terms of effective diffusivity (Fig. 6).

It was, therefore, possible to identify the highest and the quickest DIC extraction process through the operation efficiency. The optimized DIC operating conditions determined with the goal to maximize effective diffusivity was obtained with the following parameters: 0.51 MPa – 50 s with 36.39 g/100 g dm of lipid extracted yield versus 24.72 g/100 g dm for the usual raw material.

The equation of the fitted model is:

$$Yield_{oil} = 20.6866 + 48.8561P + 0.16806t - 54.2845P^2 - 0.001558t^2$$

with $R^2 = 70.86\%$

$Lack-of-fit = 20.28$.

Since the $P$-value for lack-of-fit in the ANOVA table is greater or equal to 0.05, the model appears to be adequate for the observed data at the 95.0% confidence level. The $R$-Squared statistic indicates that the model as fitted explains 70.86% of the variability in oil extraction yields.
4.2.2 Fatty acid composition (percentage level)

Extracts were analyzed by GC-MS and GC-FID in order to identify their fatty acid composition and to compare pre-treated and untreated rape seeds. These analyses allowed a qualitative and quantitative comparison between the different samples. The total contents of fatty acids were determined using a modified fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) method (Morrison and Smith, 1964).

Fatty acid can be divided in 3 groups: saturated fatty acids (SFA), MUFA (Mono-Unsaturated Fatty Acid) and PUFA (Poly-Unsaturated Fatty Acid). The fatty acid composition of extracted oils is presented in Table 2. Extracted rapeseed oils contain all around 60% of MUFA, 30% of PUFA and 6% of SFA.

In all the extraction of rapeseed oils we have found that there are 3 fatty acids that are predominantly present; with oleic acid (C18:1 n9) ranged from 57.58 to 59.03%, linoleic acid (C18:2 n6) ranged from 21.23 to 21.89%, and linolenic acid (C18:3 n3) ranged from 9.11 to 9.45%.

Regarding fatty acid profile, DIC treatment did not generate degradation as shown in Table 2.

5 Conclusion

Rapeseed seeds were treated by instant controlled pressure-drop (DIC) at different operative parameters, as a pre-treatment for solvent extraction (n-hexane). Via RSM response surface methodology, the impact of DIC pre-treatment to solvent extraction on the oil extraction process showed considerable enhancement. Indeed whatever the DIC treatment conditions, oil yield and effective diffusivity, after 6 h of extraction, were higher than that of the untreated raw material (RM). It
was clearly noted that, whatever the operative conditions, the DIC treatment had a strong influence on the yields and the rate of extraction process. For modeling kinetics, it was assumed the process to start by a convection stage between the solvent and the exchange surface and a second stage of diffusion within the porous solid. The first process had to be revealed through the starting accessibility, when the effective diffusivity, as well as the yields could explain the second stage.

Regarding oils quality, predominant fatty acids in all extracted rapeseed oils are oleic acid (C18:1 n9), linoleic acid (C18:2 n6) and linolenic acid (C18:3 n3). None of DIC treatment produced a modification of the fatty acid relative proportion.
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